

## A REVIEW OF AN ACADEMIC ARTICLE FOR THE “COMPARISONS” BI-ANNUAL REVIEW

The title of the reviewed article:

Dear Sir/Madam,

The editors of ‘COMPARISONS’ would like to ask you to prepare a review of the attached article. Please provide us with your current personal and contact details below. In the questionnaire on the next page, please tick relevant boxes - multiple answers are allowed. We wish to stress that the questionnaire is mainly orientational, the substantial review is the most important and we would like you to include your own comments and suggestions for any corrections or additions.

Do not give your personal details on the review itself - the review is anonymous (according to the ‘double-blind review’ procedure), the first page will be detached and will remain confidential.

Please forward the review with the filled questionnaire to the email address: [comparis@amu.edu.pl](mailto:comparis@amu.edu.pl), also as a print out with a signature to:

Bogusław Bakuła, Red. “Comparisons”  
Institute of Polish Philology  
Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań  
A. Fredro str. 10/210  
61-701 Poznań  
Poland

We expect to receive it by..... at the latest.

With kind regards,

The editors of “Comparisons”

The review was edited by (title, first name, surname) .....

Place of work: .....

Date of the review: .....

### I'd like to suggest following experts to further assess this article:

First name, Surname	Place of work	Contact details (phone number, email address)

## A REVIEW OF AN ACADEMIC ARTICLE FOR 'COMPARISONS' BI-ANNUAL REVIEW

The title of the reviewed article:

**I consider the quality of the article to be (please tick in the right column):**

Very high, introducing important innovative views and new topics.	
Good.	
Average.	
Below average.	
Completely unsuccessful.	

**As for any corrections, I regard the article as:**

Very good and acceptable in the current form.	
Acceptable after a few optional corrections.	
Acceptable after a few necessary corrections.	
Acceptable only after major corrections.	
Unacceptable even after major corrections.	

**The nature of the presumed corrections is:**

- formal (quotations, footnotes)	
- grammatical, orthographical	
- stylistic	
- argumentative	
- methodological	
- topical	
- bibliographic (the literature of the object and the subject)	

**When it comes to the article being published in 'Studia Slavica':**

I recommend it.	
I recommend it after the suggested corrections have been applied.	
The article after corrections should be accepted by the reviewer.	
I don't recommend it.	

**Further comments:**